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Abstract

Background: Primary cancer of the eyelid is an uncommon malignancy with a metastatic potential. The objective of this study was to assess the clinicopathological profile, management strategies, and build awareness about more aggressive eyelid malignancies to reduce morbidity and mortality.

Methods: Retrospective analysis of all the eyelid tumours presented to our institute from 2015 to 2021 was done. A total of 10 patients with histopathologically proven malignant eyelid tumours of all age groups were included in the study. All information regarding clinical details, treatment, and outcomes were retrospectively collected and analysed.

Results: The most common malignant eyelid tumour was basal cell carcinoma (n=5) followed by squamous cell carcinoma (n=3), Malignant Melanoma (n=1) and sebaceous gland carcinoma (n=1). Mean age of all patients with malignant eyelid tumour at the time of diagnosis was 61 years. Females were more frequently affected than males. The proportion of involvement of lower eyelid was significantly higher than of upper eyelid in basal cell carcinoma (P = 0.045). All patients were managed by surgical excision with tumour-free margins verified on histopathology followed by eyelid reconstruction and adjuvant radiotherapy when meeting high risk criteria.

Conclusions: Basal cell carcinoma was the most common eyelid malignancy observed and is more frequent in women than in men. Surgery is the mainstay of treatment and adjuvant radiation in high-risk patients provides excellent locoregional control.
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Introduction

Eyelid and peri-ocular skin lesions are very common in patients. These lesions are numerous due to the unique anatomical features of the eyelid as all the skin structures and its appendages, muscle, modified glands, and conjunctival mucous membrane are represented in the eyelid [1]. Eyelid malignancies are rare, representing 3% of all skin cancers in the head and neck region [2]. Their importance lies in their special site with the ability to penetrate all layers of the eyelid. These destructive lesions, by involving the lid margin or lacrimal system, can produce severe functional disability and in addition can be very disfiguring. Eyelid lesions are often misdiagnosed and lead to recurrences of the disease. Hence, the accuracy of diagnosis and definitive treatment depends on histopathological diagnosis [3]. The most common primary eyelid malignancy is BCC which is rarely metastatic. Other carcinomas such as squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the second most common eyelid tumour, Sebaceous gland carcinoma, malignant melanoma, Markel cell carcinoma accounting for most of the remainder of eyelid malignancies, they are associated with more spreading in nature to the surrounding structures and a more pronounced metastatic potential [4-7].

Management of eyelid malignancy consists of Mohs micrographic surgery or wide excision with negative microscopic margin clearance followed by eyelid reconstruction [8]. Intraoperative microscopic evaluation of surgical margins by frozen section results in excellent rates of local control for basal cell carcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas of the eyelid and periorbital structures [9-11]. Adverse prognostic features include involvement of the upper eyelid, a tumour size of 10 mm...
or more, and a duration of symptoms of over six months. Adjuvant radiotherapy is advised for the patients with eyelid with residual disease, positive or close margins, lymph node involvement, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion or deep muscle invasion to increase the likelihood of locoregional control [12-14]. Patients with locally advanced BCC who are not amenable to definitive surgery, newer targeting therapies, or systemic treatments may be the alternative options to preserve the globe [15, 16].

In the current study, our goals were to retrospectively evaluate the clinicopathological profile, management and outcomes in patients with eyelid tumours presented to our institute.

Materials and Methods

It was a hospital based retrospective study. All the patients with eyelid malignancies presented to our institute from 2015 to 2021 were included in the study. Histopathological examination was considered as the gold standard for the diagnosis. All the information related to clinical details, treatment and follow-up details were collected and analysed. All the patients were treated by surgery as the main modality of treatment. Adjuvant Radiation was given in the patients who were meeting high risk criteria. After the completion of the treatment all the patients were followed up every 3 months with clinical and radiological examination. Statistical analysis was done by using the software SPSS 22.0 and R environment version.3.2.2 and Microsoft word and Excel have been used to create tables etc. Descriptive analysis and inferential analysis have been done in the study.

Results

Total Ten cases of Eyelid Tumours were identified. They were stratified according to the age, gender and the anatomic location. The age group of the patients studied ranged from 35 to 70 years. The median age of the study population was 61 years. Females were more commonly affected. Lower eyelid was involved in 70% (n=7) of the patients (p 0.001). Patient and Tumour Characteristics are given in Table 1.

Histopathological examination was considered as the gold standard for diagnosis. All the patients underwent Surgical Excision as the main modality of treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mean 61 Years</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>P=0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Eyelid</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Eyelid</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Patient and Tumour Characteristics

Patients with regional nodal metastasis at the time of diagnosis of the primary eyelid or conjunctival tumour underwent completion neck dissection. Preoperative and post operative images of the patients are given in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Five patients had postoperative adjuvant EBRT because of aggressive histologic subtypes recurrent tumour after previous failed surgical excision (1 patient), microscopic perineural invasion in the surgical specimen (1 patients), advanced- stage disease (i.e., presence of regional nodal metastasis at time of diagnosis of primary tumour) (1 patient), and microscopically positive surgical margins (2 patients) associated with a high risk of recurrence. Summary of the cases are described in Table 2. Different types of radiation were used: 3 patients received electrons; 1 patient received photons; and 1 patient received a combination of electrons and photons. Intensity-modulated EBRT was used in 2 patients. The total radiation dose ranged from 60-66 Gy (median, 60 Gy) divided in fractions of 2 Gy per session 5 sessions per week. Additionally, skin bolus in the form of tissue equivalent material was used to ensure that the dose to the postoperative bed was optimized, and the thickness of the bolus material varied depending on the beam energy.

Four patients had radiation-induced ocular side effects. All experienced some degree of dry eye syndrome, 3 had keratinization of the conjunctiva. The majority of ocular side effects of EBRT were managed conservatively with frequent lubrication, eyelid hygiene, topical medications.

After the completion of treatment, patients were followed up at every 3 months intervals. All patients were followed up every 3 months with clinical and radiological examination of primary site and nodal areas. At the
median follow up 20 months one patient developed a local recurrence. Initially, this patient had an aggressive histological subtype, metatypical histology. She was presented with extensive disease on recurrence and underwent orbital exenteration with adjuvant radiation. Relapse Free Survival (RFS) in our study was found to be 90% at the end of 3 years (p-0.06).

**Discussion**

Eyelid lesions can be benign or malignant, and hence should be examined for malignant changes like ulceration, crusting, fine telangiectatic vessels, loss of lashes, irregular pigmentation, loss of eyelid architecture, induration of edges, fixation to underlying tissue and enlarged regional lymph nodes. In this study, the mean age was 61 years with a minimum age of 35 years and a maximum age of 75 years. Malignant eyelid tumours are rare in children and young adults but occur more commonly in the sixth, seventh, and eighth decades of life [17-24]. The incidence of BCC is higher in people over 60 years of age [25].

The diagnosis of eyelid tumour was confirmed by histopathological analysis with a correlation of clinical findings. Lymph node metastasis was noted in only in one patient of BCC and Malignant melanoma. Lymph node metastasis is more common with malignant melanoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, and lymphoma [18, 26, 27]. BCC (85–95%) is the most common eyelid carcinoma, whereas others eyelid malignancies accounts 5–15% [5, 28-32, 25, 33]. Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) accounts for 3.4 to 12.6% of eyelid cancers [34] and was the second histological type after BCC in our study [34]. The most common are the lower eyelid and the inner canthus [35].

Management of eyelid malignancies requires different considerations from other cutaneous malignancies due to their location in the periorcular region and the functional impact of complete surgical resection on ocular protection and visual function. Many factors may influence the therapeutic decision such as the age of the patient, its general status, comorbidities, tumour location and stage, histological type and prior treatments. Surgical excision with the minimal recommended surgical margins of 3-4 mm was the main modality of treatment in our study. The standard modality for biopsy technique is frozen section control excision biopsy or Moh’s micrographic surgery control [17, 36]. More important margins of 5-10 mm are needed in recurrent BCC, in nodular subtype if the tumour size exceeds 1cm, infiltrative subtype [35]. Eyelid reconstruction is performed depending on eyelid defects following surgical excision. by direct closure with canthotomy, semicircular flap and by lid sharing procedures.

Clinical high-risk factors for locoregional recurrence are tumour size more than 1cm, poorly defined borders, immunosuppression, a tumour developed on a site of prior RT, a rapidly growing tumour, neurologic symptoms and recurrent tumours. Pathological high-risk features are poorly differentiated tumours, adenoid, adenosquamous, desmoplastic or basosquamous subtypes, tumour thickness >2mm or Clark level IV-V and perineural, lymphatic or vascular involvement. Since wide margins are difficult to obtain in eyelids, post-operative RT is indicated in case of close or positive margins. Histological specimens should be carefully examined for evidence of perineural invasion, that is found in 8% to 14% of cases [37]. Adjuvant RT is indicated in cases of perineural involvement, positive surgical margin status and lymph node involvement [38-40]. In our study, 6 patients received adjuvant RT to a dose of 60-66Gy.

**EBRT in the orbital region has been associated with**

**Table 2. Summary of the Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Eyelid</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>55/F</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision+ RT</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>75/F</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>76/M</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision+ RT</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>68/F</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision+ RT</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision+ RT</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>64/F</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision</td>
<td>Recurrence at 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Sb GC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>62/F</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision+ RT</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Surgical Excision</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>68/F</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Surgical Excision</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCC; Basal Cell Carcinoma, SCC; Squamous cell Carcinoma, Sb GC; Sebaceous Gland Carcinoma. NED; No Evidence of Disease, RT; Radiotherapy.
many well-documented ocular side effects, including dry eye syndrome, cataracts, radiation retinopathy, optic neuropathy, canicular and nasolacrimal duct blockage [41, 42]. However, with adequate shielding of the eye, the side effects of EBRT were avoided in most patients in our study [43]. Dry eye syndrome was seen 4 patients in our study.

Our results suggest that postoperative adjuvant EBRT for eyelid and conjunctival cancers is a reasonable alternative and should be considered in patients with features associated with a high risk of local-regional recurrence.

In conclusion, Eyelid lesions present with innocuous symptoms mimicking neoplasm versus benign lesions. The true histological nature of the mass lesion is necessary to predict the outcome. The gold standard for treatment modality is surgical excision with microscopic margin clearance under frozen section control. Adjuvant Radiation should be considered in patients with high-risk features to prevent relapse. A multidisciplinary treatment approach involving dermatology, pathology, oculoplastic and Mohs surgery, otolaryngology, and radiation oncology, can be necessary in various combinations to maintain cosmetic and functional status.

Authors’ contribution
All authors worked on the conception of the article. All authors reviewed and vouched for content.

Acknowledgements
Nil

Funding
The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests
There was no conflict of interests.

References
21. R. Sihota, K. Tandon, S. M. Betharia, and R. Arora,