Three Different Ways to Miss a Granulosa Cell Tumor – A Lesson to be Learned
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Abstract

Granulosa cell tumours (GCTs) account for approximately 70% of malignant sex-cord stromal tumors but are still uncommon and comprise only 2–5% of all ovarian neoplasms. They are classified as adult and juvenile GCTs. These are often low grade malignancies and are usually diagnosed in early stages, but with an potential for late recurrence. Case 1: A 51-year woman with c/o PMB with biopsy showing endometrial polyp. She underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy and a bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (TAH BSO) with omental biopsy after intraoperative pathology confirmation of a Adult granulosa cell tumor (AGCT) of the ovary. She had a good post-operative recovery and was advised for regular and long term follow up. Case 2: A 63-year woman c/o PMB with an adult granulosa cell tumor that initially presented as endometrial hyperplasia on biopsy. She underwent a TAH BSO and omental biopsy after intraop frozen section confirmed of AGCT of the ovary. She had an uneventful post-operative recovery. Case 3: A 68-year woman with an AGCT that was initially treated as endometrial carcinoma. She underwent a Comprehensive Surgical Staging due to initial misdiagnosis of endometrial carcinoma. Her final biopsy report showed it to be a granulosa cell tumor of the ovary and adenocarcinoma of the endometrium. She had a good post-operative recovery and is being followed up till now. The multifaceted presentations with its erratic biological behaviour coupled with late recurrences are diagnostic pitfalls necessitating a high degree of suspicion for accurate clinical diagnosis.
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Introduction

Granulosa cell tumours (GCTs) comprise of nearly 70% of malignant stromal tumors but are still uncommon and account for only 2–5% of all ovarian neoplasms. These tumours originate from hormonally active granulosa cells which lie in close association with the ovarian oocytes and are responsible for estradiol production [1]. Based on there clinicopathological characteristics they are classified as adult (AGCTs) and juvenile (JGCTs). AGCTs account for approximately 1% to 2% of all ovarian tumors and 95% of all GCTs [2] AGCTs (95%) almost always occur in postmenopausal women while JGCTs (5%) are diagnosed under 30 years of age and maybe seen in prepubertal girls in 5% of cases [3]. These are often low grade malignancies and are usually diagnosed in early stages, but with an awkward biological potential for late recurrence. The exact etiology and specific risk factors of this malignancy still remains unknown. Here we present 3 case reports of women with postmenopausal bleeding (PMB) and they were misdiagnosed as endometrial causes due to our lack of suspicion about GCTs and completely normal looking ovaries in the first 2 cases.

Case Report

Case 1

A 51 year old postmenopausal female, P3L3, all 3 FTND, sterilized, presented to our OPD with complaints of PMB. She is menopausal since 2 years. On examination, patient was anemic, vitals were stable, Per abdomen (P.A) revealed 16 weeks size uterus. On per vaginum examination (P.V)-uterus was 16weeks size, fornices were
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free and non tender. USG was done which showed a bulky uterus of 16*11*7cm with right ovary 3*1.8cm and left ovary of 2.7*1.7cm. Heterogeneous hypoechoic lesion (9*6cm) with internal vascularity noted in endometrial cavity with possible myometrial invasion. CECT Pelvis report showed enlarged uterus (16*11*8cm) and normal enhancement pattern, endometrium appeared thickened (48mm) and irregular. B/L ovaries normal in shape size and enhancement pattern (Figure 1A, B, C). CA 125 was normal. Diagnostic hysteroscopy with endometrial biopsy (DH EB) was done. Histopathology report (HPR) showed endometrial polyp. She was planned for Laparotomy, intraoperative pathology and accordingly to proceed. On opening the abdomen uterus was bulky of 18weeks size with normal looking ovaries. Cut section (C.S) of uterus showed entire uterine cavity to be covered with polypoidal growth. Intraoperative pathology showed it to be complex endometrial hyperplasia with focal nuclear atypia. Total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingoophorectomy (TAH BSO) was done. Gross specimen showed bulky uterus with normal right sided ovary and enlarged left ovary. Histopathological findings showed disordered proliferative endometrium, without any evidence of malignancy or hyperplasia . Her CA 125 report and other hormonal profile were normal. Patient was taken up for laparotomy where TAH BSO with omental biopsy was done. Gross specimen showed bulky uterus with normal right sided ovary and enlarged left ovary. Histopathological findings showed disordered proliferative endometrium, without any evidence of malignancy or hyperplasia .

**Case 2**

63 years old postmenopausal woman P4L4, both FTND presented to our hospital with c/o PMB of 3 days. She attained menopause 20yrs back. On examination, she was metabolically normal. P/A examination, there was no evidence of tenderness, mass or free fluid. P.V examination showed a bulky uterus with thick irregular endometrium. USG with Doppler done which showed solid adnexal mass with dominant arterial flow with low resistance flow, uterus was bulky with thick irregular endometrium. CECT pelvis showed poorly circumscribed heterogeneous solid/cystic adnexal lesion of 11*9*8cm, bulky uterus with thick endometrium. Few subcentimetric aortocaval nodes seen. DH EB showed grade 2 endometrioid adenocarcinoma.
Comprehensive surgical staging was planned suspecting it to be a case of Ca endometrium. Type 2 Radical hysterectomy with bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection with paraaortic lymph node dissection with infracolic omentectomy was done. On C.S uterus was 10-12 weeks size with a growth of 2 cm with less than 50% myoinvasion. Left ovary was 10*10cm. Intraoperative pathology showed sex cord stromal tumor in left ovary. Her final histopathology report showed left ovarian AGCT, ovarian capsule uninvolved by tumor, mitoses 3/10 hpf, b/l tubes uninvolved by tumor. Uterus showed endometrial adenocarcinoma of endometriod type, architectural grade 1, nuclear grade 2, myoinvasion less than 50%, LVSI negative, omentum free, lymph nodes were negative. Her post operative period was uneventful. She is on regular follow up with us till now and is doing well.

**Discussion**

GCTs were first described in 1855 by Rokitansky as chronicled in Chew et al.’s manuscript. Presently, little is known regarding the molecular and genetic
changes associated with GCTs. >90% of adult GCT’s have a missense somatic C134W mutation in the FOXL2 gene and have been associated with a variety of genetic abnormalities such as trisomy 12/14, monosomy 22 [1]. Patient’s age & disease extent are taken into account while deciding on treatment. Usually, only surgery is adequate primary treatment. Standard surgical treatment of ovarian AGCTs has changed over the years. Recently published literature suggested a lack of lymph node involvement and omission of the lymphadenectomy as part of the primary surgical staging of these tumors was advocated [4]. A unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy is appropriate therapy for stage IA tumors in reproductive age group. An endometrial biopsy should be performed to rule out the possibility of a coexistent endometrial adenocarcinoma. For perimenopausal and postmenopausal women, only TAH BSO is sufficient [3]. For the use of adjuvant radiotherapy, controversial results exists. Although some studies have shown irradiation to be beneficial in recurrent, metastatic or as adjuvant treatment postoperatively, there are no randomized study assessing its role [5-8]. The main indications for chemotherapy in early stage GCT patients are large tumors with high mitotic activity or breached capsule [4].

The multifaceted presentations with its erratic biological behaviour coupled with late recurrences are diagnostic pitfalls necessitating a high degree of suspicion for accurate clinical diagnosis. Even in our case series we did not suspect the cause of PMB to be GCT due to its rarity and atypical presentation. In our first 2 reports b/l ovaries were normal both clinically and radiologically but final HPR showed GCT which proved to be a diagnostic dilemma for us.

In conclusion, Lack of evidence based predictive and prognostic factors deters the accurate prediction of the biological behavior of individual GCTs. However, lifelong follow up including physical examination, imaging, and tumor markers is recommended for patients with GCTs as late recurrences beyond 5 years are characteristic of this disease.
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