DOI:10.31557/APJCC.2022.7.4.669

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dosimetric Comparison of IMRT Versus 3DCRT for Post-mastectomy Chest Wall Irradiation: An Analytical Observational Study

Tavseef Ahmad Tali¹, Fiza Amin², Javaid Ahmad³, Aijaz Ahmad Khan⁴

¹Senior Resident Radiation Oncology Sheri Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India. ²Senior Resident Gynaecology & Obstetric SKIMS Medical College Srinagar, India. ³Senior Resident Cardiology AIIMS New Delhi, India. ⁴RSO, Radiological Physics and Engineering, Sheri Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India.

Abstract

Purpose: To compare the dose distribution of three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3DCRT) with intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) for post-mastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) to left chest wall. Materials and Methods: 30 post-MRM female breast cancer patients with histologically confirmed infiltrating ductal carcinoma of unilateral left breast without evidence of distant metastasis or second malignancy were found eligible during January 2017 to December 2021. All patients received 45 Gy in 20 fractions. Planning target volume (PTV) parameters -D₂, D₉₈, Dmean, V₉₅, and V₁₀₇—homogeneity index (HI), and conformity index (CI) were compared. The mean doses of lung and heart, percentage volume of ipsilateral lung receiving 5 Gy (V_5) , 20 Gy (V_{20}) , and 49 Gy (V_{49}) and that of heart receiving 5 Gy (V_5) , 25 Gy (V_{25}) , and 42 Gy (V_{42}) were extracted from dose-volume histograms and compared. Results: PTV parameters were comparable between the two groups. CI was significantly improved with IMRT (1.118 vs. 1.224, p < 0.04) but HI was similar (0.0951 vs. 0.0962, p = 0.125) compared to 3DCRT. IMRT in comparison to 3DCRT significantly reduced the high-dose volumes of lung (V_{20} , 24.52% vs. 29.62%; V_{49} , 3.56% vs. 6.42%; p < 0.001) and heart $(V_{25}, 5.89\% \text{ vs. } 8.24\%; V_{42}, 1.64\% \text{ vs. } 6.12\%; p < 0.001);$ mean dose of lung and heart (10.21 vs. 11.96 Gy and 3.86 vs. 7.42 Gy, respectively; p < 0.001). Conclusions: For left sided breast cancer, IMRT significantly improves the conformity of plan and reduce the mean dose and high-dose volumes of ipsilateral lung and heart compared to 3DCRT, but 3DCRT is superior in terms of low-dose volume.

Keywords: Breast cancer- Intensity-modulated radiotherapy- Modified radical mastectomy- Radiation dosimetry

Asian Pac J Cancer Care, 7 (4), 669-673

Submission Date: 09/29/2022 Acceptance Date: 11/05/2022

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in females, both worldwide and in India [1]. In contrast to Western world, most of the patients here present with advanced stage due to lack of mass screening programmes and awareness; so modified radical mastectomy (MRM) is performed more often than breast conservative surgery (BCS).

Large prospective trials [2] and a meta-analysis [3] have shown that adjuvant radiotherapy of the chest wall improves local control and survival in node positive breast cancer patients after mastectomy. Intensity-modulated

radiation therapy (IMRT) has proved to be superior to three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3DCRT) in various sites like head and neck, central nervous system, lung, prostate, etc.

In case of chest wall irradiation, lung and heart remain two most important vital organs, irradiation of which always causes concern to radiation oncologists. This becomes even more complicated as most of the chemotherapeutic agents used to treat breast carcinoma like anthracyclines, taxanes and trastuzumab, possess cardiotoxic potential. IMRT directs radiation at the

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Tavseef Ahmad Tali

Department Senior Resident Radiation Oncology Sheri Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India. Email: ahmad.tavseef90@gmail.com

breast tumor and modulates the intensity of the radiation beams with laser accuracy, helping to spare healthy tissue surrounding the breast tumor. IMRT allows each dose of radiation to be custom-tailored according to the exact geometrical shape of the breast tumor [4].

Randomized, retrospective and population based studies have shown that the radiotherapy of the chest wall is associated with a significantly increased risk of cardiac morbidity and mortality [3, 5-16]. Data on the effect of IMRT of the chest wall in post-mastectomy breast cancer patients are scarce in the literature [17-19]. So the present study was carried out at our department to compare the dosimetry in post-MRM patients of left breast with IMRT and 3DCRT.

Materials and Methods

30 post-MRM female breast cancer patients with histologically confirmed infiltrating ductal carcinoma of unilateral left breast without evidence of distant metastasis or second malignancy were found eligible during January 2017 to December 2021. All patients were immobilized using a thermoplastic mould in supine position over a breast board fixed on the couch with both arms extended above their head onto arm rests, abducted and externally rotated. Scar sites, drain sites and breast borders were marked using lead markers. Patients were simulated using a 16 slice somatom computed tomography (CT) simulator wit 6 MV beam. The 3-mm CT cuts were taken once optimal patient position was confirmed. Supraclavicular fossa (SCF) was irradiated when there was histopathological evidence of 1 or more axillary node metastases, inadequate lymph node dissection (less than 10 nodes examined pathologically) or when neoadjuvant chemotherapy was administered prior to definitive surgery.

The clinical target volume (CTV) was contoured according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) breast cancer atlas guidelines [20]. The Planning Target Volume (PTV) was then generated by giving 1 cm margin to CTV. Organs at risk (OAR) contoured included heart, lung, spinal cord, esophagus and contralateral breast. 15 patients were treated with 3DCRT and 15 with IMRT with dose of 45 Gy in 20 fractions with 2.25 Gy per

fraction, 1 fraction per day for 5 days per week.

Dosimetric Analysis

Planning target volume (PTV) parameters D_2 , D_{98} , Dmean, V_{95} , and V_{107} , homogeneity index (HI), and conformity index (CI) were compared. The mean doses of lung and heart, percentage volume of ipsilateral lung receiving 5 Gy (V_5), 20 Gy (V_{20}), and 49 Gy (V_{49}) and that of heart receiving 5 Gy (V_5), 25 Gy (V_{25}), and 42 Gy (V_{42}) were extracted from dose-volume histograms and compared.

HI was defined as the difference between the near-maximum and near-minimum dose normalised to the median dose,

$$HI = D_2 - D_{98}/D_p$$

where D_2 and D_{98} are the dose received by 2% and 98% volume of PTV; and D_p is the prescribed dose. The ideal value is zero; an HI value approaching zero indicates a more homogenous dose distribution within the PTV and it increases as homogeneity decreases. CI was defined as the ratio of volume of tissue receiving at least 95% of the prescribed dose divided by the volume of the PTV. The closer the CI to one, the more conformal is the plan.

Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, all data were recorded and analysed on Microsoft Excel 2007 and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). The t-test for two independent means was used for quantitative data. The p-value reports were two tailed and an alpha level of 0.05 was used to assess statistical significance.

Results

Baseline patient and tumor characteristics were found to be comparable between the two groups. PTV parameters are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that although CI was significantly improved with IMRT (1.118 vs. 1.224, p < 0.04) compared to 3DCRT, HI was similar (0.0951 vs. 0.0962, p = 0.125). No significant

Table 1. Dosimetric Analysis of Parameters for PTV

Parameter	3DCRT		IMRT		P-value
	mean	sd	mean	sd	
PTV volume (cc)	815	12.2	804	6.8	0.01
D2 (Gy)	47.82	1.09	47.64	1.01	0.18
D98 (Gy)	43.36	0.86	43.24	0.92	0.12
D MEAN	45.56	1.12	45.45	1.06	0.11
V 95 (%)	97.8	1.6	98.1	1.2	0.57
V 107 (%)	2.24	0.98	1.86	0.94	0.29
Homogeneity Index	0.0962	0	0.09	0	0.13
Conformity index	1.224	0	1.118	0	0.04

Values are presented as mean \pm 1 standard deviation(sd). PTV, planning target volume; 3DCRT, three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; CTV, clinical target volume; D_2 and D_{gg} , the dose delivered to 2% and 98% of PTV; Dmean, mean dose of PTV; V_{95} and V_{107} , volume of PTV receiving greater than 95% to 107% of prescribed dose.

Table 2. Dosimetric Analysis of Parameters for Lung and Heart

Parameter	3DCRT		IMRT		P-value
	mean	sd	mean	sd	
V5 (%)	52.09	6.12	58.42	4.28	0.002
V20 (%)	29.62	2.52	24.52	1.68	0.001
V49 (%)	6.42	1.12	3.56	1.24	0.001
D MEAN (Gy)	11.96	1.86	10.21	1.94	0.017
HEART					
V5 (%)	21.62	1.89	26.54	4.2	0.003
V25 (%)	8.24	0.75	5.89	0.91	0.001
V42 (%)	6.12	0.94	1.64	0.35	0.001
D MEAN(Gy)	7.42	0.98	3.86	0.89	0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm 1 standard deviation. 3DCRT, three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; Vx, volume of tissue receiving x Gy; Dmean, mean dose

difference was noted between D_2 , D_{98} , D_{mean} , V_{95} and V_{107} with the two techniques. Dosimetric parameters for lung and heart are shown in Table 2. For lung, IMRT in comparison to 3DCRT significantly reduced the high-dose volumes (V_{20} , 24.52% vs. 29.62%; V_{49} , 3.56% vs. 6.42%; p < 0.001) and the mean dose (10.21 Gy vs. 11.96 Gy, p < 0.001). Similarly for heart also, IMRT in comparison to 3DCRT significantly reduced the high-dose volumes (V_{25} , 5.89% vs. 8.24%; V_{42} , 1.64% vs. 6.12%; p < 0.001) and the mean dose (3.86 Gy vs. 7.42 Gy, p < 0.001). However, 3DCRT proved to be superior to IMRT in terms of low-dose volume for both the lung (V_5 , 52.09% vs. 58.42%; p < 0.001) and the heart (V_5 , 21.62% vs. 26.54%; p < 0.001).

Discussion

Data about the impact of IMRT on the adjuvant radiotherapy of the chest wall in postmastectomy patients are scarce in the literature. This study was undertaken to evaluate the dose distribution of IMRT of the chest wall compared to 3D-CRT in postmastectomy breast cancer patients. Fiorentino et al. [21] compared 3DCRT and 4-fields IMRT treatment plans, in terms of target dose coverage, integral dose and dose to organs at risk (OARs) in early breast cancer and concluded 4-fields IMRT technique significantly reduced the dose to OARs and normal tissue, with a better target coverage compared to 3DCRT.

All our plans had the PTV95% coverage values of >95% of prescription dose. In literature [22,23], various planning studies have shown the PTV95% coverage values ranging from 90% to 97%. Hong et al. have showed that the use of equally spaced gantry angles not only improves HI and CI but also reduces the volume of critical normal tissues [24]. In the present study, statistically significant improvement was noted in CI with IMRT compared to 3DCRT (1.127 vs. 1.254, p < 0.001) [25,26]. However, no significant difference was noted in HI (0.094 vs. 0.096, p = 0.83). Similar results have been reported by Moorthy et al. [26] (CI,0.14vs.0.18,p=0.01;HI,1.01vs.1.03,p=0.45)andRudat etal. [26] (CI,0.32vs.0.25,p=0.03;HI,0.73vs.0.77,p>0.05).

However, Li et al. [27] concluded that IMRT neither significantly improved CI (1.42 vs. 1.41, p=0.13) nor HI (0.13 for both groups, p=1.0); whereas Beckham et al. [28] concluded that IMRT significantly improved not only CI (0.91 vs. 0.48, p<0.05) but also HI (0.95 vs. 0.74, p<0.05).

Moorthy et al. [25] concluded that IMRT in comparison to 3DCRT had significantly lower V40 heart (2.13% vs. 7.5%) and Dmax left anterior descending artery (29.17 Gy vs. 39.5 Gy, p < 0.05). Rudat et al. [26] concluded that tangential beam IMRT statistically significantly reduced the V55 by an average of 43% (5.7% vs. 10.6%) and the mean heart dose by an average of 20% (7.04 Gy vs. 8.77 Gy, p = 0.03). Beckham et al. [28] concluded that IMRT significantly reduced volume of the heart receiving more than 30 Gy (V30 heart, 1.7% vs. 12.5%).

In conclusion, IMRT for the irradiation of the chest wall in post-mastectomy left sided breast cancer patients offers the potential to significantly reduce the mean dose and high-dose volumes of the ipsilateral lung and heart compared to 3DCRT (p < 0.001). IMRT significantly improves the conformity of the plan (p < 0.001) but the homogeneity remains similar with the two techniques (p = 0.83).

Conflict of interest

Nil

Funding

Nil

References

- National Cancer Registry Programme . Bangalore, India: National Centre for Disease Informatics and Research; c2016. Three-year report of Population Based Cancer Registries 2012-2014 [Internet] [cited 2018 Mar 1]. Available from: http://www.ncdirindia.org/NCRP/ALL_ NCRP_REPORTS/PBCR_REPORT_2012_2014/index.htm.
- Overgaard M, Nielsen HM, Overgaard J. Is the benefit of postmastectomy irradiation limited to patients with four or more positive nodes, as recommended in international consensus reports? A subgroup analysis of the DBCG 82 b&c randomized trials. Radiotherapy and Oncology: Journal

- of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2007 03;82(3):247-253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radonc.2007.02.001
- 3. Clarke M, Collins R, Darby S, Davies C, Elphinstone P, Evans V, Godwin J, Gray R, Hicks C, James S, MacKinnon E, McGale P, McHugh T, Peto R, Taylor C, Wang Y. Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet (London, England). 2005 Dec 17;366(9503):2087-2106. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67887-7
- 4. Cancer Treatment Centers of America . Boca Raton, FL: Cancer Treatment Centers of America; c2018. IMRT for breast cancer [Internet] [cited 2018 Mar 1]. Available from: https://www.cancercenter.com/breast-cancer/imrt.
- 5. Giordano SH, Kuo Y, Freeman JL, Buchholz TA, Hortobagyi GN, Goodwin JS. Risk of cardiac death after adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2005 03 16;97(6):419-424. https://doi. org/10.1093/jnci/dji067
- 6. Darby SC, McGale P, Taylor CW, Peto R. Long-term mortality from heart disease and lung cancer after radiotherapy for early breast cancer: prospective cohort study of about 300,000 women in US SEER cancer registries. The Lancet. Oncology. 2005 08;6(8):557-565. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1470-2045(05)70251-5
- 7. Darby S, McGale P, Peto R, Granath F, Hall P, Ekbom A. Mortality from cardiovascular disease more than 10 years after radiotherapy for breast cancer: nationwide cohort study of 90 000 Swedish women. BMJ (Clinical research ed.). 2003 02 01;326(7383):256-257. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.326.7383.256
- 8. Cuzick J, Stewart H, Rutqvist L, Houghton J, Edwards R, Redmond C, Peto R, Baum M, Fisher B, Host H. Causespecific mortality in long-term survivors of breast cancer who participated in trials of radiotherapy. Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 1994 03;12(3):447-453. https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.1994.12.3.447
- 9. Rutqvist LE, Lax I, Fornander T, Johansson H. Cardiovascular mortality in a randomized trial of adjuvant radiation therapy versus surgery alone in primary breast cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 1992;22(5):887-896. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-3016(92)90784-f
- 10. Høst H, Brennhovd IO, Loeb M. Postoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer--long-term results from the Oslo study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 1986 05;12(5):727-732. https://doi. org/10.1016/0360-3016(86)90029-5
- 11. Haybittle JL, Brinkley D, Houghton J, A'Hern RP, Baum M. Postoperative radiotherapy and late mortality: evidence from the Cancer Research Campaign trial for early breast cancer. BMJ: British Medical Journal. 1989 06 17;298(6688):1611-
- 12. Jones JM, Ribeiro GG. Mortality patterns over 34 years of breast cancer patients in a clinical trial of post-operative radiotherapy. Clinical Radiology. 1989 03;40(2):204-208. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-9260(89)80099-6
- 13. Paszat LF, Mackillop WJ, Groome PA, Schulze K, Holowaty E. Mortality from myocardial infarction following postlumpectomy radiotherapy for breast cancer: a population-based study in Ontario, Canada. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 1999 03 01;43(4):755-762. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-3016(98)00412-x

- 14. Højris I, Overgaard M, Christensen JJ, Overgaard J. Morbidity and mortality of ischaemic heart disease in highrisk breast-cancer patients after adjuvant postmastectomy systemic treatment with or without radiotherapy: analysis of DBCG 82b and 82c randomised trials. Radiotherapy Committee of the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group. Lancet (London, England). 1999 Oct 23;354(9188):1425-1430. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(99)02245-x
- 15. Patt DA, Goodwin JS, Kuo Y, Freeman JL, Zhang DD, Buchholz TA, Hortobagyi GN, Giordano SH. Cardiac morbidity of adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2005 Oct 20;23(30):7475-7482. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.13.755
- 16. Correa CR, Litt HI, Hwang W, Ferrari VA, Solin LJ, Harris EE. Coronary artery findings after left-sided compared with right-sided radiation treatment for earlystage breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2007 07 20;25(21):3031-3037. https://doi.org/10.1200/ JCO.2006.08.6595
- 17. Cavey ML, Bayouth JE, Endres EJ, Pena JM, Colman M, Hatch S. Dosimetric comparison of conventional and forward-planned intensity-modulated techniques for comprehensive locoregional irradiation of postmastectomy left breast cancers. Medical Dosimetry: Official Journal of the American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. 2005;30(2):107-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. meddos.2005.02.002
- 18. Gauer T, Engel K, Kiesel A, Albers D, Rades D. Comparison of electron IMRT to helical photon IMRT and conventional photon irradiation for treatment of breast and chest wall tumours. Radiotherapy and Oncology: Journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2010 03;94(3):313-318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radonc.2009.12.037
- 19. Laan HP, Korevaar EW, Dolsma WV, Maduro JH, Langendijk JA. Minimising contralateral breast dose in post-mastectomy intensity-modulated radiotherapy by incorporating conformal electron irradiation. Radiotherapy and Oncology: Journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2010 02;94(2):235-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2009.12.015
- 20. RTOG Foundation . Philadelphia, PA: RTOG Foundation; c2018. Breast cancer atlas [Internet] [cited 2018 Mar 1]. Available from: https://www.rtog.org/CoreLab/ ContouringAtlases/BreastCancerAtlas.aspx.
- 21. Fiorentino A, Ruggieri R, Giaj-Levra N, Sicignano G, Di Paola G, Naccarato S, Fersino S, Mazzola R, Tebano U, Ricchetti F, Alongi F. Three-dimensional conformal versus intensity modulated radiotherapy in breast cancer treatment: is necessary a medical reversal?. La Radiologia Medica. 2017 02;122(2):146-153. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11547-016-0700-z
- 22. Abo-Madyan Y, Polednik M, Rahn A, Schneider F, Dobler B, Wenz F, Lohr F. Improving dose homogeneity in large breasts by IMRT: efficacy and dosimetric accuracy of different techniques. Strahlentherapie Und Onkologie: Organ Der Deutschen Rontgengesellschaft ... [et Al]. 2008 02;184(2):86-92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00066-008-
- 23. Barnett GC, Wilkinson J, Moody AM, Wilson CB, Sharma R, Klager S, Hoole ACF, Twyman N, Burnet NG, Coles CE. A randomised controlled trial of forward-planned radiotherapy (IMRT) for early breast cancer: baseline characteristics and dosimetry results. Radiotherapy and Oncology: Journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and

- Oncology. 2009 07;92(1):34-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2009.03.003
- 24. Hong L, Hunt M, Chui C, Spirou S, Forster K, Lee H, Yahalom J, Kutcher GJ, McCormick B. Intensity-modulated tangential beam irradiation of the intact breast. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 1999 07 15;44(5):1155-1164. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-3016(99)00132-7
- 25. Moorthy S, Sakr H, Hasan S, Al-Janahi S, Murthy N. Dosimetric study of SIB-IMRT versus SIB-3DCRT for breast cancer with breath-hold gated technique. International Journal of Cancer Therapy and Oncology. 2013 Oct 10;1. https://doi.org/10.14319/ijcto.0101.10
- 26. Rudat V, Alaradi AA, Mohamed A, Ai-Yahya K, Altuwaijri S. Tangential beam IMRT versus tangential beam 3D-CRT of the chest wall in postmastectomy breast cancer patients: a dosimetric comparison. Radiation Oncology (London, England). 2011 03 21;6:26. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-6-26
- 27. Li W, Wang J, Cheng H, Yu H, Ma J. IMRT versus 3D-CRT for post-mastectomy irradiation of chest wall and regional nodes: a population-based comparison of normal lung dose and radiation pneumonitis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2016;9:22331–7.
- 28. Beckham WA, Popescu CC, Patenaude VV, Wai ES, Olivotto IA. Is multibeam IMRT better than standard treatment for patients with left-sided breast cancer?. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. 2007 Nov 01;69(3):918-924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.06.060



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.